

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal ofOrgano metallic Chemistry

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 689 (2004) 3184-3196

www.elsevier.com/locate/jorganchem

### Factors affecting the lability of the $\sigma(M-X)$ bond in cycloplatinated and cyclopalladated complexes containing $[C(sp^2, ferrocene), N, X]^-$ or $[C(sp^2, phenyl), N, X]^-(X = S, N)$ terdentate ligands

Sonia Pérez<sup>a</sup>, Concepción López<sup>a,\*</sup>, Amparo Caubet<sup>a</sup>, Xavier Solans<sup>b</sup>, Mercè Font-Bardia<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departament de Química Inorgànica, Facultat de Química, Universitat de Barcelona. Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028-Barcelona, Spain <sup>b</sup> Departament de Cristal lografia, Mineralogía i Dipòsits Minerals, Facultat de Geología, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès s/n, 08028-Barcelona, Spain

> Received 11 June 2004; accepted 1 July 2004 Available online 6 August 2004

#### Abstract

The reactions of the cyclometallated complexes  $[M\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl]$  [with M = Pt (**5a**) or Pd (**5b**)] with PPh<sub>3</sub> under different experimental conditions are reported. These studies have allowed the isolation of  $[M\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-C_6H_4-2-SMe]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)]X$  [M = Pt and  $X^- = Cl^-$  (**6a**) or  $BF_4^-$  (**7a**) or M = Pd and  $X^- = Cl^-$  (**6b**) or  $BF_4^-$  (**7b**)] and the neutral complex  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl(PPh_3)]$  (**8b**). In **6**–**7a**,**b** the ferrocenyl Schiff base behaves as a  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N,S]^-$  group while in **8b** it acts as a  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N]^-$  ligand. The X-ray crystal structure of **7b** confirms the mode of binding of the ferrocenyl ligand. The comparison of the results obtained and those reported for  $[M\{(C_6H_4)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)\}Cl]$  {with a  $[C(sp^2, phenyl),N,S]^-$  terdentate ligand} or  $[M\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl]$  {in which the ligand acts as a  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N,N']^-$  group} have allowed the elucidation of the relative importance of the factors affecting the lability of the M–X (X = S or N') and M–Cl bonds in cyclometallated compounds with  $[C,N,S]^-$  and  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N,X]^-$  ligands. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cyclometallation; Ferrocene; Platinacycles; Palladacycle

### 1. Introduction

Cyclometallated complexes containing platinum(II) or palladium(II) ligands [1] have generated considerable interest since long ago mainly due to their applications in homogeneous catalysis or for the design of new materials with outstanding properties [1–18]. Their utility as precursors in organic and organometallic synthesis

[13–18] is also well-known. Among all the examples reported so far, those containing  $[C,N,X]^-$  (X = N', O or S) terdentate groups with three donor atoms of different hardness [19], are particularly interesting because the ligand may adopt different binding modes and hapticities {i.e. (C,N,X)<sup>-</sup>, (C,N)<sup>-</sup>, (C)<sup>-</sup>} and the study of the factors that affect the ease with which these changes occur is relevant from the point of view of their potential utility in homogeneous catalysis.

Most of the articles reported so far involve the study of the lability of the  $\sigma(M-X)$  bonds of cyclometallated

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +34934021274; fax: +34934907725. *E-mail address:* conchi.lopez@qi.ub.es (C. López).

<sup>0022-328</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2004.07.007

complexes [M(C,N,X)Cl] (M = Pt or Pd and X = N' or O) containing a  $\sigma$ [M–C(sp<sup>2</sup>, aryl)] bond or to a lesser extent a  $\sigma$ [M–C(sp<sup>3</sup>)] bond [20–22], but related studies on metallacycles in which palladium(II) or platinum(II) are bound to a C(sp<sup>2</sup>, ferrocene) atom are less common [23,24]. To the best of our knowledge, only the reactivity of compounds:  $[M{[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{3})-CH=N-(CH_{2})_{n}-$ NMe<sub>2</sub>]Fe( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)}Cl] {with n = 3, M = Pt (1a) or Pd (1b) or n = 2, M = Pd (2b) (Fig. 1(a)) [23,24] with PPh<sub>3</sub> has been reported. Besides that, parallel studies involving platina- or palladacycles with a [C,N,S]<sup>-</sup>terdentate group are scarce [25-27] and the examples reported so far are practically restricted to:  $[M{(C_6H_5)} CH = N - (CH_2 - CH_2 - 2 - SEt) Cl] \{M = Pt (3a), Pd (3b)\}$ and  $[M{(C_6H_5)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)}C]$  {M = Pt (4a), Pd (4b)} (Fig. 1(b) and (c)) which contain a  $[C(sp^2, phenyl), N, S]^-$  ligand [25,26]. Compounds 3– 4a,b undergo the cleavage of the M-S bond in the presence of PPh<sub>3</sub>. In the view of this and in order to clarify the influence of the type of metallated carbon in a *trans*arrangement to the M-S bond on the lability of this link, we were prompted to study the reactions of  $[M{[(\eta^5 C_5H_3$ )-CH=N-( $C_6H_4$ -2-SMe)]Fe( $\eta^5$ - $C_5H_5$ )}Cl] {M = Pt (5a), Pd (5b) [28] (Fig. 1(d)) with PPh<sub>3</sub>.

### 2. Results and discussion

Treatment of  $[Pt\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl]$  (5a) with the equimolar amount



of PPh<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at ca. 20 °C for 1 h, followed by concentration to dryness, gave a deep purple solid, which was identified according to its elemental analyses and (FAB<sup>+</sup>) mass spectrum as [Pt{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2-SMe)]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]Cl (**6a**) [Scheme 1, step (a)]. Its infrared spectrum showed the typical bands due to the coordinated PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand [29,30] and a sharp and intense band at ca. 1533 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the stretching of the imine group.

The <sup>195</sup>Pt{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **6a** (in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 300 K) showed a doublet at  $\delta = -4449$  ppm (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>P-Pt</sub> = 3785 Hz) which is consistent with the values reported for related platinacycles with a [C,N,S]<sup>-</sup> terdentate group [25–27,31] and its <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum suggested a *cis*-arrangement between the metallated carbon and the phosphine ligand, in good agreement with the *transphobia effect* [32].

In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **6a** at 300 K the signals due to the protons of the SMe and  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$  groups were broad (Fig. 2(a)). This finding could be indicative of a dynamic process in solution (possibly involving the -SMe moiety). In order to confirm this hypothesis, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR studies were carried out in the range 230-300 K. At 230 K, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, showed two sets of superimpossed signals of relative intensities 2.1:1.0 (Fig. 2(b)) and the positions of the two signals observed in the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum [Fig. 3] were consistent with the values expected for platinacycles with a PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand in a trans-arrangement to the imine nitrogen [20,25a,26]. The coalescence of the two signals observed in the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra was achieved at 270 K (Fig. 3). These findings suggested the presence of two different platinum(II) complexes (in a molar ratio = 2.1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution.

It has been reported that some platinacycles with terdentate  $[C(sp^2, phenyl), N,N']^-$  ligands, such as  $[Pt\{(C_6H_4)-CH=N-(CH_2)_2-NMe_2]\}(PPh_3)]Cl$ , undergo a fast exchange process in solution which involves the cleavage of the terminal Pt-NMe<sub>2</sub> bond and the formation of a Pt-Cl bond [Scheme 2(a)] [20]. In order to elucidate if the two species present in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions of **6a** could also be related by a change in the mode of binding of the ferrocenyl ligand {from  $[C(sp^2, ferro$  $cene),N,S]^-$  (in **6a**) to  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N)]^-$  (in **6a**')} [Scheme 2(b)], we prepared  $[Pt\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N (C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)][BF_4]$  (**7a**) and studied its solution behaviour in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Complex 7a was synthesized either from 5a in the presence of Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] followed by the removal of TlCl and the subsequent addition of PPh<sub>3</sub>, or from 6a using Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] to remove the chloro ligand bound to the platinum(II) [Scheme 1, steps (b) and (c)]. Proton and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of a CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution of 7a in the range 230–300 K were identical to those obtained for 6a. Thus, indicating that the Cl<sup>-</sup> (in 6a) or the [BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (in 7a) anions were not involved in the dinamic



Scheme 1. (i)  $PPh_3$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at room temperature. (ii)  $Tl[BF_4]$  in acetone, followed by removal of the TlCl formed (iii) addition of the stoichiometric amount of  $PPh_3$ .



Fig. 2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (500 MHz) of [Pt{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2SMe)]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]Cl (**6a**) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at *T* = 300 K (a) and 230 K (b).

process. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **6a** and **7a** at low temperatures suggested that the environment of the platinum(II) should be very similar in the two species. Besides that, { $^{1}H^{-1}H$ } NOESY and ROESY spectra of **7a** at 230 K revealed that the two complexes

interchanged in solution and differred in the relative arrangement of: (a) the Me group of the –SMe moiety and one of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub> and (b) the  $C_5H_5$  ring and another  $C_6H_5$  group of the coordinated phosphine.



Fig. 3.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra (250 MHz) of [Pt{[( $\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{3}$ )-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2SMe)]Fe( $\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5}$ )}(PPh<sub>3</sub>)]Cl in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 300, 270, 265 and 230 K.

The results obtained in the reaction of **5a** with PPh<sub>3</sub> are in sharp contrast with those reported for [Pt{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NMe<sub>2</sub>)]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}-Cl] (**1a**), [Pt{(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)-CH=N-(CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-2-SEt)}Cl] (**3a**) and [Pt{(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2-SMe)}Cl] (**4a**) for which the addition of the equimolar amount of PPh<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature produced the cleavage of the Pt-N<sub>amine</sub> (in **1a**) or Pt-S (in **3a** and **4a**) bonds [Scheme 3(a)-(c)] [25b,26]. Thus, suggesting that in **5a** the Pt–S bond is less prone to cleave than in **3a** or **4a** or than the Pt– $N_{amine}$  bond in **1a**.

The use of more severe experimental conditions (PPh<sub>3</sub>: Pt molar ratios varying from 1 to 3; in acetone or benzene, at room temperature or under reflux and with reaction periods up to 24 h) did not produce the cleavage of the Pt-S bond in 5a or 6a. In order to compare the reactivity of the M-Cl and M-S bonds in 5a and 5b, a parallel study was preformed with the palladium(II) complex. When 5b was treated with the equimolar amount of PPh3 in CH2Cl2 at ca. 20 C for 1 h, followed by the work up, a purple residue was isolated. Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum in CDCl<sub>3</sub> revealed the presence of **5b** and  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)] Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})$  (PPh<sub>3</sub>)]Cl (6b) [Scheme 4, step (a)] in a molar ratio = 1.0:0.3. Its  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum showed the signal of the free PPh<sub>3</sub> {at  $\delta = -6.0$  ppm} and an additional singlet at 34.9 ppm, adscribed to complex **6b** (see below).

These findings are in contrast with those described for **3b** and **4b** for which the addition of PPh<sub>3</sub> (in a Pd:PPh<sub>3</sub> molar ratio = 1) produced the cleavage of the Pd–S bond [24b,25c]. Thus indicating a smaller lability of the Pd–S bond in **5b** when compared with those of **4b** and **3b**. This trend, which is similar to that described above for the platinum(II) derivatives, can be adscribed to the different *trans*-influence of the metallated carbon atom: a C(sp<sup>2</sup>, ferrocene) atom (in **5b**) or a C(sp<sup>2</sup>, phenyl) atom in **3b** and **4b**. Besides that, the comparison of the results obtained reveal that **5b** is less reactive than **5a** in front of PPh<sub>3</sub>.

When larger excesses of PPh<sub>3</sub> were used (molar ratio  $PPh_3:5b = 1.5$ ) the relative proportion **5b:6b** decreased and **6b** could be isolated in a higher yield. Complex **6b** was characterised by elemental analyses, mass spectra, infrared and NMR spectroscopy (see Section 4). The most outstanding features observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **6b** are: (a) the presence of a doublet due to phosphorous coupling at  $\delta = 9.47$  ppm  $[{}^{4}J_{P-H} = 8.5]$ Hz], which is assigned to the imine proton and (b) the resonances of the H<sup>3</sup> and SMe protons appeared highfield shifted when compared with those of 5b or the free ligand due to the paramagnetic anisotropy of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **6b** [ $\delta$  = 34.9 ppm] was consistent with a *cis*-arrangement of the PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand and the metallated carbon of the ferrocenyl moiety, in good agreement with the transphobia effect [32]. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **6b** (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>) did not vary substantially in the range: 230-300 K.

For comparison purposes we also prepared and characterised compound:  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)][BF_4]$  (7b) (Scheme 4, step (b) and Section 4). Its molecular structure consists of equimolar amounts of  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)]^+$ ,  $[BF_4]^-$  anions and



Scheme 2. (i) CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



M = Pt (1a), Pd (1b)

Scheme 3. (i)  $PPh_3$  in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at room temperature.

 $CH_2Cl_2$ . The ORTEP diagram of the cation together with the atom numbering scheme is depicted in Fig. 4.

In the cation, the palladium(II) is bound to the two heteroatoms of the ferrocenyl ligand  $\{N \text{ and } S\}$  and to

the C(6) atom of the 1,2-disubstituted pentagonal ring, thus confirming that the ligand acts as a  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N,S]^-$  terdentate group. The fourth coordination site is occupied by the phosphorous atom of the PPh<sub>3</sub> group and a slightly distorted square-planar environment is the result.

The Pd–C(6) [2.001(3) Å], Pd–N [2.070(2) Å] and Pd– S [2.3750(2) Å] bond lengths are larger than the values reported for  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)] Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})$ Cl] (5b) [Pd-C(6), 1.978(5) Å; Pd-N, 2.020(3) Å and Pd-S, 2.3653(11) Å][28]. The cation contains a [5,5,5,6] tetracyclic system formed by the 1,2-disubstituted pentagonal ring of the ferrocenyl unit, a five-membered metallacycle, the chelate ring, formed by the binding of the two heteroatoms to the palladium, and the phenyl ring. The metallacycle, which contains the C=N- functional group (endocyclic), is twisted on the Pd-C(6) bond and the five-membered chelate ring is twisted on the Pd-S bond. Bond lengths and angles of the " $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)Fe(\eta^5 - C_5 H_3)$ " moiety are consistent with those reported for most ferrocene derivatives [33]. The two pentagonal rings are planar (*tilt angle* =  $2.7^{\circ}$ ) and they deviate by ca. 2.1° from the ideal eclipsed conformation.

The separation between the C(18)–H(18A) bond of one cation and the phenyl ring formed by the set of atoms [C(25)–C(30)] of a neigbouring unit is 2.660 Å, which suggests a C–H··· $\pi$  interaction.

More interesting were the results obtained when **5b** was treated with PPh<sub>3</sub> (in a PPh<sub>3</sub>:**5b** molar ratio = 1.5) for 1 h in benzene or acetone under reflux. In this case, the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of the crude showed three singlets at  $\delta = -6.0$ , 34.8 and 38.1 ppm. The two signals at higher fields are due to the free PPh<sub>3</sub> and to **6a**,



Scheme 4. (i) PPh<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. (ii) Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] in acetone. (iii) PPh<sub>3</sub> (molar ratio PPh<sub>3</sub>:Pd = 1.5) in benzene under reflux for 1 h. (iv) SiO<sub>2</sub> column chromatography (v) PPh<sub>3</sub> (molar ratio PPh<sub>3</sub>:Pd = 1.5) in benzene under reflux for 24 h.

respectively. The chemical shift of the remaining signal  $[\delta = 38.2 \text{ ppm}]$  was very similar to those reported for palladacycles:  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH==N-R^1]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl(PPh_3)]$  with  $(R^1 = \text{phenyl}, \text{benzyl} \text{ or naphthyl}$ groups) in which the ferrocenyl Schiff base behaves as a  $[C(sp^2, \text{ferrocene}),N]^-$  group [34]. Thus, suggesting the presence of a new cyclopalladated derivative, here in after referred to as **8b** [Scheme 4, step (c)]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum at 300 K revealed that the two palladium(II) compounds coexisted in a **8b:6b** molar ratio = 0.13. This ratio increased for longer reaction periods and when reaction was carried out in refluxing benzene for 24 h, **8b** was isolated in a fairly good yield [Scheme 4, step (d)]. This product, which was identified as  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3) CH==N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl(PPh_3)]Cl ($ **8b**), arose form **5b** by cleavage of the Pd–SMe bond and the incorporation of a PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand in the coordination sphere of the palladium(II). The differences observed in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR chemical shifts of **6b** and **8b** were consistent with those found for other palladacycles containing  $[C(sp^2, phenyl),N,X]^-$  and  $[C(sp^2, phenyl),N]^-$  ligands [20,22,34].

The results presented here are in sharp contrast with those reported for  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl]$  which in the presence of PPh<sub>3</sub> gave  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl(PPh_3)]$  [Scheme 3(c)] in which the ligand acts as a  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene),N]^-$  bidentate group. In this case the formation of the ionic palladacycle  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)]^+$  could



Fig. 4. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of the cationic array of:  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)][BF_4]CH_2Cl_2$  (7b). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. [Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pd–C(6), 2.001(3); Pd–N, 2.070(2); Pd–P, 2.2506(7); Pd–S, 2.3750(8); C(6)–C(10), 1.451(4); C(10)–C(11), 1.419(4); C(10)–N, 1.313(4); N-C(12), 1.406(3); C(6)–Pd–N, 81.75(10); C(6)–Pd–P, 93.62(8); N-Pd–S, 82.48(7); P–Pd–S, 101.85(3); C(6)–C(10)–C(11), 117.1(2); C(9)–C(10)–C(11), 132.5(3);C(10)–C(11)–N, 116.3(2); Pd–S–C(17), 95.58(10); Pd–S–C(18), 112.62(17) and C(17)-S–C(18), 120.86(18)].

only be achieved in the presence of Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>]. These findings suggested that the Pd–Cl and Pd-X (X = S or N') bonds are more labile in [Pd{[ $(\eta^5-C_5H_3)$ –CH==N– (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>–NMe<sub>2</sub>]Fe( $\eta^5-C_5H_5$ )}Cl] than in **5b**.

In a first attempt to explain the different stability of the ionic cyclopalladated complexes holding a  $[C(sp^2, ferrocene), N,X]^-$  terdentate ligands **7b** and  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)]$ [BF<sub>4</sub>] · CHCl<sub>3</sub> (**9b**) [23b], we decided to crystallise **9b** and to solve its crystal structure. The structure consists of equimolar amounts of heterodimetallic cations:  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2)_3-NMe_2]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}$  (PPh<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>+</sup>, [BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anions and CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The molecular structure of the cationic array is shown in Fig. 5.

The palladium(II) atom is bound to the N(1) and N(2) atoms of the ligand, the C(6) atom of the ferrocenyl group and the phosphorous atom of the PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand in a slightly distorted square-planar environment. The Pd–N(2) and Pd–C(6) bond lengths do not differ significantly from those reported for [Pd{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)– CH==N-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>–NMe<sub>2</sub>]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}Cl] (1b) [Pd–N(2), 2.205(3) Å and Pd–C(6), 1.964(3) Å] (the differences do not clearly exceed 3 $\sigma$ ), but the Pd–N(1) bond in **9b** is larger than in **1b**.

The metallacycle has an envelope-like conformation in which the C(6) atom deviates by ca. 0.198 Å [towards the Fe(II) ion] from the main plane defined by the re-



Fig. 5. Molecular structure and atom numbering scheme of the cationic array of:  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2-CH_2-CH_2)-NMe_2]-Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)][BF_4] \cdot CHCl_3$  (9b). Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. [Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pd-C(6), 1.946(11); Pd-N(1), 2.125(4); Pd-N(2), 2.195(8); Pd-P, 2.2841(9); C(6)-C(10), 1.482(8); C(10)-C(11), 1.456(12); C(11)-N(1), 1.290(11); N(1)-C(12), 1.395(10); C(12)-C(13), 1.488(13); C(13)-C(14), 1.459(8); C(14)-N(2), 1.505(6); C(6)-Pd-N(1), 82.7(3); N(1)-Pd-N(2), 89.2(3); N(2)-Pd-P, 103.07(10); C(6)-Pd-P, 85.69(13), C(11)-N(1)-C(12), 116.1(6)].

maining atoms. The six-membered ring formed by the coordination of the two nitrogen atoms  $\{N(1) \text{ and } N(2)\}$  to the palladium has a half-chair conformation.

The pentagonal rings of the ferrocenyl fragment are planar, nearly parallel (*tilt angle* =  $4.0^{\circ}$ ) and they deviate by ca.  $-6.9^{\circ}$  from the ideal eclipsed conformation.

The comparison of structural data for compounds **7b** and **9b** reveal that the sum of the bond angles around the palladium involving the phosphorous atom: C(6)–Pd–P and P–Pd–N(2) (in **9b**) or P–Pd–S (in **7b**) [195.5°] is greater than in **9b** [188.8°]. These findings together with the shorter the Pd–N(2) bond (in **9b**) when compared with the Pd–S bond distance (in **7b**) indicate that the environment of the PPh<sub>3</sub> in **9b** is more constrained than in **7b**. In fact, when **9b** is treated with the equimolar amount of LiCl in a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH (10:1 mixture) at ca. 20 °C, the Pd–N(amine) bond cleaves, producing [Pd{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)–CH=N–(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>–NMe<sub>2</sub>]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}Cl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)] (Scheme 5); while for **7b** more severe experimental conditions were needed to cleave the Pd–S bond. These



Scheme 5. (i) LiCl in a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH (10:1) mixture at room temperature for 5 min.

findings agree with the different hardness [19] of the two heteroatoms  $N_{amine}$  (in **9b**) and S (in **7b**).

### 3. Conclusions

The results presented in this work have allowed us to elucidate the relative importance of the metallated carbon atom and/or the nature of the terminal X donor atom of the  $[C,N,X]^-$  terdentate ligands in pallada- and platinacyles of general formulae [M(C,N,X)Cl] upon the reactivity of the M–X bond and the M–Cl bond. In particular, these studies reveal that: (a) the Pt–Cl bond (in **5a**) is more labile than in **5b** and (b) compounds **5a**, **5b** are less proclive to undergo a ring opening process than 3–4a,b, which contain a  $[C(sp^2, phenyl), N,S]^-$  ligand [25,26].

On the other hand, the variations detected in the M–L bond length within a family of related compound has been frequently used to rationalise the different reactivity of the complexes towards a given ligand. Commonly, larger  $\sigma(M-L)$  bond distances are related to the greater lability of the bond. The differences observed in the M–C, M–N and M–Cl bond distances in **4a** and **5a** (or in **4b** and **5b**) (Table 1) do not clearly exceed  $3\sigma$ ; but in **4a** and **4b** the M–S bond lengths are clearly larger than in **5a** and **5b**. This finding could be indicative of a weaker M–S bond in the metallated complexes with a [C(sp<sup>2</sup>, phenyl),N,S]<sup>-</sup> terdentate ligand, which is consistent with the results obtained from the experimental work presented here.

### 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Materials and methods

Compounds [M{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2-SMe)] Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}Cl] [with M = Pt (**5a**) or Pd (**5b**)], [Pt{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-NMe<sub>2</sub>]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}(PPh<sub>3</sub>)] [BF<sub>4</sub>] (**9b**) and Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] were prepared as described previously [23a,28,35]. The solvents (except benzene) were dried and distilled before use [36]. Some of the preparations described below require the use of HIGHLY HAZ-ARDOUS materials such Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] or benzene, which should be handled with CAUTION.

Elemental analyses (C, H, N and S) were carried out at the Serveis de Recursos Científics i Tècnics (University of Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona). FAB<sup>+</sup> mass spectra were performed at the Servei d'Espectrometria de Masses (University of Barcelona) using 3-nitrobenzylalcohol (NBA) as matrix.

Infrared spectra were obtained with a Nicolet 400-FTIR instrument using KBr pellets. Routine <sup>1</sup>H and  $^{13}C{^{1}H}$  NMR spectra were obtained with a Gemini 200 MHz and a Bruker 250-DXR or a Mercury 400 MHz instruments, respectively. High-resolution <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and the two dimensional  $[{^{1}H}-{^{1}H}]$  NO-ESY, ROESY and COSY and  ${}^{1}H{}^{-13}C$ -heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) and the heteronuclear multiple bond coherence (HMBC)] NMR experiments were recorded with either a Varian VRX-500 or with a Bruker Advance DMX 500 instruments at 20 °C. Variable temperature <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were carried out using a Bruker Advance DMX 500 and a Bruker 250-DXR instruments, respectively. In all the NMR experiments the solvent was CDCl<sub>3</sub> (99.8%) and SiMe<sub>4</sub> was used as internal reference.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  and  ${}^{195}Pt{}^{1}H{}$  NMR spectra (at 20 °C) were obtained with a Bruker 250-DXR instruments in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (99.9%) and using P(O)Me<sub>3</sub> [ $\delta^{31}$ P = 141.1 ppm] and  $H_2[PtCl_6] [\delta^{195}Pt = 0.0 \text{ ppm}]$  as references, respectively.

### 4.2. Preparation of the compounds

4.2.1. Preparation of  $[Pt\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)]Cl(6a)$ 

Compound [Pt{[ $(\eta^5-C_5H_3)$ -CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2-SMe)]-Fe( $\eta^5-C_5H_5$ )}Cl] (**5a**) (61 mg, 1.08 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol) was dissolved in 10 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, then the stoichiometric amount amount of PPh<sub>3</sub> was added. The reaction

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the cyclopalladated complexes of general formulae:  $[M\{(C_6H_4)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl]$  {M = Pt (4a), Pd (4b)} and  $[M\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl]$  {M = Pt (5a), Pd (5b)} (standard deviation parameters are given in parenthesis)<sup>a</sup>

	$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Pt}$		M = Pd	
		5a	4b	5b
M–S	2.349(2)	2.328(3)	2.3863(13)	2.3653(11)
M–C(6)	2.016(6)	1.985(10)	1.987(3)	1.978(5)
M–N	1.988(6)	1.998(7)	2.008(3)	2.020(3)
M–Cl	2.292(2)	2.302(4)	2.3006(17)	2.2851(11)
C(6)-M-N	81.8(2)	80.8(4)	81.94(10)	81.38(16)
N-M-S	85.93(15)	85.6(2)	84.83(9)	84.72(8)
C(6)–M–Cl	96.7(2)	96.3(4)	96.46(10)	95.52(15)
Cl-M-S	95.69(9)	97.60(13)	96.90(5)	98.83(6)

<sup>a</sup> Data from [24b,26,28].

mixture was stirred at room temperature (ca. 20 °C) for 1 h and then filtered out. The filtrate was then concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator and the purple solid obtained was then washed several times with small portions of *n*-hexane. The purple solid was air-dried and then dried in vacuum for 4 days (yield: 61 mg, 68.5%). Characterisation data: Anal. (%). Calc. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NClFeSPPt (found): C, 52.28(52.6); H, 3.78(4.1); N, 1.69(1.8) and S, 3.88(4.11). MS (FAB<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z = 792 \{ [M]-Cl \}^+$ . IR: 1533 cm<sup>-1</sup> [v(C=N-)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (500 MHz): at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 2.00 (br., 3H, SMe), 3.75 (s, 1H,  $H^3$ ), 4.20 (br., 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.73 (s, 1H,  $H^4$ ), 5.09 (s, 1H,  $H^5$ ), 7.30 (t, 1H,  $H^{3'}$ , J = 8), 7.34 (d, 1H, H<sup>3'</sup>, J = 8), 7.47 (t, 1H, H<sup>4'</sup>, J = 8), 7.50-7.70 (m, 15 H, aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>), 8.15 (d, 1H,  $H^{\alpha}$  proton of one of the phenyl rings of the  $PPh_3$ , J = 8.0) and 9.61 (d, 1H, -CH = N-,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm P-H} = 9.0$ ; at 230 K (major component):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 2.13 (br., 3H, SMe), 3.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.00 (br., 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>), 5.02 (s, 1H, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.31 (t, 1H,  $H_{4'}^{4'}$ , J = 7.9), 7.36 (d, 1H,  $H_{3'}^{3'}$ , J = 7.9), 7.44 (t, 1H,  $H^{5'}$ , J = 7.9), 7.50–7.70 (m, 15 H,  $H^{6'}$  and 14 aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>), 8.04 (d, 1H,  $H^{\alpha}$  of one of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub> group, J = 8) and 9.51 (d, 1H,  $-CH = N^{-3} J_{Pt-H} = 100, {}^{4} J_{P-H} = 9$ ; (minor component):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 1.82 (br., 3H, SMe), 3.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.39 (br., 5H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 4.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>), 5.08 (s, 1H, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.29 (t, 1H,  $H^{4'}$ , J = 8), 7.45 (t, 1H,  $H^{5'}$ , J = 8), 7.50–7.70 (m, 15 H,  $H^{6'}$  and 14 aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>), 8.11( $H^{\alpha}$ of one of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub> group, J = 8.5) and 9.60 (d, 1H, -CH-N-,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-H} = 100$ ,  ${}^{4}J_{P-H} = 9$ ) in this case the resonances due to the  $H^3$  and  $H^{4'}$  were partially overlapped and masked, respectively, by the signals due to the same protons of the major component. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data (100.58 MHz): at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 27.9 (SMe), 71.2 ( $C^5$ ), 72.0 ( $C_5H_5$ ), 72.4 ( $C^4$ ), 75.8 (C<sup>3</sup>), 80.1 [C<sup>1</sup>,  $J_{Pt-C} = 121.8$ ], 92.7 (C<sup>2</sup>,  $J_{Pt-C} = 56$ ), 120.3 (C<sup>3'</sup>,  $J_{Pt-C} = 55.8$ ), 128.4 (C<sup>2'</sup>), 129.1 (C<sup>4'</sup>), 129.9 (C<sup>6'</sup>), 143.5 (C<sup>1'</sup>), 172.6 (-CH=N-) and four additional doublets due to the four types of carbon-13 nuclei of the PPh<sub>3</sub> at 129.5, 132.2, 133.2 and 134.4. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data: at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 15.2 {<sup>1</sup> $J_{Pt-P}$  = 3785}; at 230 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 15.5 { ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P}$  = 3763} and 15.20 { ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P}$  = 3763}.  ${}^{195}Pt{}^{1}H$ } NMR data at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) =  $-4449 \{ {}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 3785 \}.$ 

# 4.2.2. Preparation of $[Pd\{[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{3})-CH=N-(C_{6}H_{4}-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})]Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})\}(PPh_{3})]Cl(6b)$

To a solution containing (43 mg,  $9.04 \times 10^{-5}$  mol) of [Pd{[( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-2-SMe)]Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)}Cl] (**5b**) and 20 ml of acetone, triphenylphosphine (35 mg,  $1.34 \times 10^{-4}$  mol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h. After this period the resulting deep purple solution was filtered out and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in the minimum amount

of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and passed through a short (2.5 cm  $\times$  3.0 cm)  $SiO_2$  column chromatography. Elution with a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH (100:4) produced the release of a deeppurple band which was collected and concentrated to dryness. The solid isolated (6b) was collected and dried in vacuum for 3 days (yield: 50 mg, 72.8%). Characterisation data: Anal. (%) Calc. for  $C_{36}H_{31}NClFeSPPd \cdot 1/4$ CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (found): C, 57.31(57.4); H, 4.11(4.2); N, 1.85(1.8) and S, 4.37(4.2). MS (FAB<sup>+</sup>): m/z = 703 $[M - 1/4(CH_2Cl_2)-Cl]^+$ . IR: 1544 cm<sup>-1</sup> [v(>C==N-)]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (500 MHz):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 1.99 (s, 3H, SMe), 3.77 (d, 1H,  $H^3$ , J = 2), 4.24 (s, 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.57 (t, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>, J = 2), 5.07 (d, 1H, H<sup>5</sup>, J = 2), 5.12 (s, 0.5H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), 8.50 (d, 1H,  $H^{3'}$ , J = 7.5), 7.30 (t, 1H,  $H^{4'}$ , J = 7.5), 7.55 (t, 1H,  $H^{5'}$ , J = 7.5), 7.37 (d, 1H,  $H^{6'}$ , J = 7.5), 7.50–7.82 (m, 15H, aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>) and 9.47 (s, 1H, -CH=N-,  ${}^{4}J_{P-H} = 8.5$  Hz). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.5 MHz):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 24.8 (SMe), 71.7 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 79.2 (d, C<sup>3</sup>,  $J_{P-C} = 6.6$  Hz), 73.0 (C<sup>4</sup>), 71.4 (C<sup>5</sup>), 91.5 (C<sup>1</sup>), 108.5 (d, C<sup>2</sup>,  $J_{P-C} = 8.4$ ), 128.2 (C<sup>2'</sup>), 119.5 (C<sup>3'</sup>), 128.4 (C<sup>4'</sup>), 132.2 (C<sup>5'</sup>), 133.6 (C<sup>6'</sup>), 148.7 ( $C^{1'}$ ), 171.5 (C = N-) and four additional doublets centered at 128.5, 130.5, 131.4 and 134.0 ppm due to the four types of carbon-13 nuclei of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub>. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 34.8.

# 4.2.3. Preparation of $[Pt \{[(\eta^5 - C_5H_3) - CH = N - (C_6H_4 - 2 - SMe)]Fe(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)]Fe(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)][BF_4](7a)$

To a solution containing 44 mg  $(5.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol})$  of  $[Pt\{[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{3})-CH=N-(C_{6}H_{4}-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})\}Cl]$ (5a) and 10 ml of acetone, Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] (23 mg,  $7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ mol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at ca. 20 °C for 1 h and then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator and then treated with the minimum amount of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The undissolved materials were removed by filtration and the resulting purple solution was concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator giving a deep purple solid (yield: 38 mg, 90.5%). Characterisation data: Anal. (%) Calc. for  $C_{36}H_{31}NBF_4FeSPPt \cdot 1/2CH_2Cl_2$ (found): C, 47.61(47.8); H, 3.50(3.80); N, 1.52(1.6) and S, 3.48 (3.1). FAB<sup>+</sup>(MS):  $m/z = 792 \{M-[BF_4]^-\}$ . IR (in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1533 [v(C = N-)] and 1062 [BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (500 MHz): at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 2.0 (br., 3H, SMe), 3.75 (s, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.20 (br., 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ; 4.73 (s, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>), 5.09 (s,1H, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.30 (t, 1H,  $H^{2'}$ , J = 8), 7.34 (d, 1H,  $H^{3'}$ ), 7.47 (t, 1H,  $H^{4'}$ ), 7.50-7.70 (m, 15 H, aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>), 8.15 (d, 1H,  $H^{\alpha}$  of one of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub> group, J = 7.5) and 9.61 (d, 1H, -CH=N-,  ${}^{3}J = 100$ ,  ${}^{4}J_{\rm P-H} = 9$ ); at 230 K (major component):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 2.13 (br., 3H, SMe), 3.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.00 (br., 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>), 5.02 (s, 1H, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.31 (t, 1H, H<sup>4'</sup>), 7.36 (d, 1H, H<sup>3'</sup>), 7.44 (t, 1H,  $H^{5'}$ ), 7.50–7.70 (m, 15 H,  $H^{6'}$  and 14 aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>), 8.04 (d, 1H,  $H^{\alpha}$  of one of the phenyl rings

of the PPh<sub>3</sub> group, J = 7.5), 9.51 (d, 1H, -CH-N-,  ${}^{4}J_{P-H} = 9$ ; (minor component):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 1.82 (br., 3H, SMe), 3.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>), 4.39 (br., 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.72 (s, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>), 5.08 (s, 1H, H<sup>5</sup>), 7.29 (t, 1H, H<sup>4'</sup>), 7.45 (t, 1H, H<sup>5'</sup>), 7.50–7.70 (m, 15 H, H<sup>6'</sup>) and 14 aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>), 8.11 (H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> of one of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub> group, J = 8.5), 9.60 (d, 1H, -CH-N-,  ${}^{4}J_{P-H} = 9$ ), in this case the resonances due to the H<sup>3</sup> and H<sup>4'</sup> were partially overlapped or masked, respectively by the signals due to the same protons of the major component.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR data (100.58 MHz): at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 27.9 (SMe), 71.2 (C<sup>5</sup>), 72.0 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 72.4 (C<sup>4</sup>), 75.8 (C<sup>3</sup>), 80.1 (C  $J_{Pt-C} = 121.8$ , 92.7 (C<sup>2</sup>,  $J_{Pt-C} = 56$ ), 120.3 (C<sup>3'</sup>,  $J_{Pt-C} = 55.8$ ), 128.4 (C<sup>2'</sup>), 129.1 (C<sup>4'</sup>), 129.9 (C<sup>6'</sup>), 143.5 (C<sup>1'</sup>) 172.6 (C<sup>1'-1</sup>) 172.6 (C<sup>1-1</sup>) 172.6 (C<sup>1-</sup> (C1'), 172.6 (-CH=N-) and four additional doublets due to the four types of carbon-13 nuclei of the PPh<sub>3</sub> at 129.5, 132.2, 133.2 and 134.4. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data: at 300 K,  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 15.2( ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P}$  = 3785); at 230 K,  $\delta = 15.5(^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 3763)$  and 15.20  $(^{1}J_{Pt-P} =$ 3763). <sup>195</sup>Pt{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data at 300 K  $\delta$  (in ppm) =  $-4449 (^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 3763).$ 

4.2.4. Preparation of  $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}(PPh_3)][BF_4](7b)$ 

This compound was prepared using either compound **5b** or complex **6b** as starting materials [methods (a) and (b), respectively].

Method (a): In this case the synthesis was achieved according to the procedure described above for **7a** but using  $5.3 \times 10^{-5}$  mol of [Pd{[( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)-CH=N-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-SMe)]Fe( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)]Fe( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)]Cl] (**5b**) and the stoichiometric amounts of Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] and PPh<sub>3</sub> as starting materials. The complex was recrystallised in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (yield: 28 mg, 64%).

Method (b): Compound **6b** (53 mg,  $6.98 \times 10^{-5}$  mol) was dissolved in 20 ml of acetone, then the equimolar amount of Tl[BF<sub>4</sub>] (20 mg,  $6.98 \times 10^{-5}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then the thallium(I) chloride formed was removed by filtration and discarded. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator. The deep residue was dissolved in the minimum amount of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (ca. 5 ml) and the undissolved materials were removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator and the solid formed was dried in vacuum for 2 days (yield: 40 mg, 77%).

4.2.4.1. Characterisation data. Anal. (%) Calc. for  $C_{36}H_{31}NBF_4FeSPPd \cdot CH_2Cl_2$  (found): C, 50.81(50.4), H, 3.80(3.8), N, 1.60(1.60) and S, 3.66(3.3). MS(FAB<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z = 703 \{M-[BF_4]^-\}$ . IR (in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1544 [ $v(\bigcirc C=N-)$ ]; 1096  $[BF_4]^-$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (500 MHz):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 1.99 (s, 3H, SMe), 3.77 (d, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>, J = 2), 4.24 (s, 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.57 (t, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>,  ${}^{3}J = 2$ ), 5.07 (d, 1H,

H<sup>5</sup>, *J* = 2), 7.30 (t, 1H, H<sup>4'</sup>, *J* = 7.5); 7.37 (d, 1H, H<sup>6'</sup>, *J* = 7.5), 7.55 (t, 1H, H<sup>5'</sup>, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.5); 7.50–7.82 (m, 15H, aromatic protons of PPh<sub>3</sub>) 8.50 (d, 1H, H<sup>3'</sup>, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.5), and 9.47 (s, 1H, -CH=N-, <sup>4</sup>*J*<sub>P-H</sub> = 8.5). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.5 MHz): δ (in ppm) = 24.8(SMe), 71.4 (C<sup>5</sup>), 71.7 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 73.0 (C<sup>4</sup>), 79.2 (d, C<sup>3</sup>, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 6.6), 91.5 (C<sup>1</sup>), 108.5 (d, C<sup>2</sup>, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 8.4), 119.5 (C<sup>3'</sup>), 128.2 (C<sup>2'</sup>), 128.4 (C<sup>4'</sup>), 132.2 (C<sup>5'</sup>); 133.6 (C<sup>6'</sup>), 148.7 (C<sup>1'</sup>), 171.5 (C=N-) and four additional doublets centered at 128.5, 130.5, 131.4 and 134.0 ppm due to the four types of carbon-13 nuclei of the phenyl rings of the PPh<sub>3</sub>. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR: δ (in ppm) = 34.9.

## 4.2.5. Preparation of $[Pd\{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_5-2SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\}Cl(PPh_3)]$ (**8b**)

A mixture containing 51 mg  $(1.07 \times 10^{-4} \text{mol})$  of  $[Pd{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_5-2SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]Fe}$  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ Cl] (5b), triphenylphosphine (42 mg,  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol) and benzene (10 ml) was refluxed for 24 h. After this period the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then filtered out. The purple solution was concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator. The residue was then dissolved in the minimum amount of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and passed through a short  $SiO_2$  column chromatography (2.0 cm  $\times$  2.5 cm). Elution with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> produced the release of a purple band which was collected and then concentrated to dryness on a rotary evaporator. The solid obtained was air-dried and then dried in vacuum for 2 days (yield: 69 mg, 87%). Characterisation data: Anal. (%) Calc. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NClFeSPdP (found): C, 58.6 (58.3); H, 4.23(4.5); N, 1.90(1.8) and S, 4.34(4.2). MS(MALDI-TOF<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z = 738 \text{ [M]}^+$ , 702  $[M-Cl]^+$ , 669  $[M-Cl-CH_3]^+$ . IR (in cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1544  $[v(\geq C = N-)]$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (500 MHz):  $\delta$  (in ppm) = 2.37 (s, 3H, SMe); 3.64 (s, 1H, H<sup>3</sup>), 3.94 (s, 5H,  $C_5H_5$ ), 4.39 (s, 1H, H<sup>4</sup>), 4.50 (s, 1H, H<sup>5</sup>), 6.77 (t, 1H,  $H^{4'}$ , J = 8), 6.90 (t, 1H,  $H^{5'}$ , J = 8), 7.22 (d, 1H,  $H^{3'}$ , J = 8), 7.27 (d, 1H,  $H^{6'}$ , J = 8), 8.54 (d, 1H, -CH=N-,  $J_{P-H} = 9$ ) and 7.55-7.80 (m, 15H, aromatic protons of the PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR data (in ppm):  $\delta = 18.12$ (SMe), 68.7 (C<sup>3</sup>), 70.8 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 72.2  $(C^4)$ ; 79.2  $(C^4)$ , 92.6  $(C^1)$ , 106.6  $(C^2)$ , 114.9  $(C^{3'})$ , 122.2  $(C^{4'})$ , 127.7  $(C^{5'})$ , 131.4  $(C^{6'})$ , 149.5  $(C^{1'})$ , 147.4  $(C^{2'})$ , 163.6 (C = N) and three additional doublets centered at: 128.8, 129.2, 131.0 and 134.7 due to the four types of carbon nuclei of the PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR data (in ppm):  $\delta = 38.1$ .

### 4.3. Crystallography

A prismatic crystal of **7b** or **9b** (sizes in Table 2) was selected and mounted on a MAR345 diffractometer with a image plate detector. Unit cell parameters were determined from automatic centering of 9390 (for **7b**) or 4616 (for **9b**) reflections in the range  $3^{\circ} < \Theta < 31^{\circ}$ , and refined Table 2

Crystal data and details of the refinement of the crystal structures of  $[Pd{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(C_6H_4-2-SMe)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)}(PPh_3)][BF_4] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ (7b) and  $[Pd{[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)-CH=N-(CH_2-CH_2-CH_2-NMe_2)]Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)}(PPh_3)][BF_4] \cdot CHCl_3$  (9b) (standard deviation parameters are given in parenthesis)

	7b	9b
Empirical formula	C <sub>37</sub> H <sub>32</sub> BCl <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub> FeNPPdS	C <sub>35</sub> H <sub>36</sub> BCl <sub>3</sub> F <sub>4</sub> FeN <sub>2</sub> PPdS
Formula weight	838.18	871.04
Crystal size $(mm \times mm \times mm)$	$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.2$	$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.2$
<i>T</i> (K)	293(2)	293(2)
$\lambda$ (Å)	0.71069	0.71069
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	$P\overline{1}$
<i>a</i> (Å)	10.6170(10)	10.3190(10)
b (Å)	12.9620(10)	10.6520(10)
<i>c</i> (Å)	13.9270(10)	17.3220(10)
α (°)	82.845(10)	84.40
β (°)	79.589(10)	87.08
γ (°)	74.286(10)	74.14
$V (Mg m^{-3})$	1808.8(3)	1822.2(3)
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.122	1.204
F(000)	844	878
$\Theta$ range for data collection (°)	2.02-31.61	2.36-31.59
Number of collected reflections	12681	3003
Number of unique reflections $(R_{int})$	8353 (0.0319)	3003 (0.0267)
Number of data	8353	3003
Number of parameters	442	434
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.048	1.075
R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0413, wR_2 = 0.1202$	$R_1 = 0.0331, wR_2 = 0.0953$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0604, wR_2 = 0.1296$	$R_1 = 0.0401, wR_2 = 0.0987$
Largest difference in peak and hole (e $A^{-3}$ )	0.809 and -0.843	0.251 and -0.360

by full-matrix least-squares method. Intensities were collected with graphite monochromatized Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation. For **7b**, the number of measured reflections was 12681 (in the range  $2.02^{\circ} \leq \Theta \leq 31.61^{\circ}$ ) and for **9b**, 3003 reflections were measured in the range  $2.36^{\circ} \leq \Theta \leq 31.59^{\circ}$ . The number of reflections assumed as observed applying the condition  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  was 8353 (for **7b**) and 2626 (for **9b**). Lorentz-polarisation corrections were made, but absorption corrections were not.

The structures were solved by Direct methods, using SHELXS computer program [37] and refined by full-matrix least-squares method with the SHELX-97 computer program [38] using 8353 reflections (very negative reflections were not assumed). The function minimised was  $\sum w = ||F_0|^2 - |F_c|^2|$ , where  $w = [\sigma^2(I) + (0.0909P)^2]^{-1}$ (for **7b**) and  $w = [\sigma^2(I) + (0.0688P)^2]^2 + (0.3904P)]^{-1}$ and  $P = (|F_0|^2 + 2|F_c|^2)/3$ ; f, f' and f'' were obtained from the literature [39]. For 7b, the fluorine atoms of the [BF<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> anion were located in disordered positions. An ocupancy factor equal to 0.5 was assumed according to the height of the difference synthesis. For the two structures, all hydrogen atoms were computed and refined using a riding model with an isotropic temperature factor equal to 1.2 times the equivalent temperature factor of the atom linked to it. The final R (on F) factor was 0.041, wR (on  $F_0$ ) = 0.0120. Further details concerning the resolution and refinement of the crystal structures are presented in Table 2.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was performed with the financial support of the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología of Spain, the Generalitat de Catalunya (Grant Nos. BQU2003-00906 and SRG2001-00054, respectively) and FEDER funds. S.P. is grafetul to the Generalitat de Catalunya for a pre-doctoral fellowship.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structural analyses of **7b** and **9b** have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC Nos. 240806 and 240807, respectively. Copies of this information can be obtained from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44-1233-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2004.07.007.

### References

 (a) J. Dupont, M. Pfeffer, J. Spencer, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2001) 1917; (b) R.G. Newkome, G.E. Puckett, V.K. Gupta, G.E. Kiefer, Chem. Rev. 86 (1986) 45;

- (c) V.V. Dunina, O.A. Zalevskaya, V.M. Potatov, Russ. Chem. Rev. 57 (1988) 250 (Engl. Transl.);
- (d) A.D. Ryabov, Chem. Rev. 90 (1990) 403;
- (e) I. Omae, Coord. Chem. Rev. 83 (1988) 137.
- [2] (a) P.W. Jolly, G. Wilke, W.A. Kermann, B. Cornils (Eds.), Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds, VCH, Weinheim, 1996;
  (b) I. Omae, Applications of Organometallic Compounds, Wiley, Chichester, 1998 (Chapter 20).
- [3] A.J. Klaus, in: A.E. Peters, H.S. Freeman (Eds.), Modern Colorants: Synthesis and Structure, vol. 3, Blackie Academic Press, London, 1995.
- [4] (a) B.S. Wild, Coord. Chem. Rev. 166 (1997) 291;
  (b) J. Bravo, C. Cativiela, J.E. Chaves, R. Navarro, E.P.
- Urriolabeitia, Inorg. Chem. 42 (2003) 1006.
  [5] K.E. Torraca, S.I. Kuwabe, S.L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 12907.
- [6] J.L. Serrano (Ed.), Metallomesogens, Synthesis, Properties and Applications, VCH, Weinheim, 1996.
- [7] D.E. Bergbreiter, P.L. Osburn, Y.S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 9531.
- [8] M. Talarico, G. Barberio, D. Pucci, M. Ghedini, A. Golemme, Adv. Mater. 15 (2003) 1374.
- [9] (a) L. Diez, P. Espinet, J.A. Miguel, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2001) 1189;
  (b) G. Ciparrone, A. Mazzulla, I. Aiello, M. Ghedini, Mol. Cryst. Liquid Cryst. 320 (1998) 165.
- [10] (a) For recent contributions centered on the use of cycloplatina- and/or cyclopalladated complexes in homogeneous catalysis, see for instance: R.B. Bedford, S.L. Hazelwood, M.E. Limmert, D.A. Albisson, S.M. Draper, P.N. Scully, S.J. Coles, M.B. Hursthouse, Chem. Eur. J. 9 (2003) 3216;
  - (b) R.B. Bedford, C.S.J. Cazin, S.J. Coles, T. Gelbrich, P.N. Horton, M.B. Hursthouese, M.E. Light, Organometallics 22 (2003) 987;
  - (c) F. Yang, Y. Zhang, R. Zheng, J. Tang, M. He, J. Organomet. Chem. 651 (2002) 146;
  - (d) C. Rocaboy, J.A. Gladysz, Org. Lett. 4 (2002) 1993;
  - (e) A.D. Ryabov, E.Y. Bezsoudnova, J. Organomet. Chem. 622 (2001) 38;
  - (f) A.W. Kleij, R.J.M.K. Gebbink, P.A.J. van den Nieuwenhuijzen, H. Kooijman, M. Lutz, A.L. Spek, G. van Koten, Organometallics 20 (2001) 634;
  - (g) J.S. Fossey, C.J. Christopher, Organometallics 23 (2004) 367;
  - (h) J. Bravo, C. Cativiela, R. Navarro, E.P. Urriolabeitia,J. Organomet. Chem. 650 (2002) 157.
- [11] E.G. Rodrigues, L.S. Silva, D.M. Fausto, M.S. Hayashi, S. Dreher, E. Santos, J.B. Pesquero, L.R. Travessos, A.C.F. Caires, Int. J. Cancer 107 (2003) 498.
- [12] (a) For recent advances in the photoptical properties of cycloplatinated and cyclopalladated complexes, see for instance: C.M. Che, W.F. Fu, S.W. Lai, Y.J. Hou, Y.L. Liu, Chem. Commun. (2003) 118;

(b) C.H. Tao, K.M.C. Wong, N. Zhu, V.W. Yam, New J. Chem. 23 (2003) 150;

(c) S. Fernández, J. Forniés, B. Gil, J. Gómez, E. Lalinde, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2003) 822;

(d) I. Aiello, U. Caruso, M. Panunzi, A. Quatela, A. Roviello, F. Sarcinelli, Polymer 44 (2003) 7635;

(e) I. Aiello, D. Dattilo, G. Mauro, A. Bruno, R. Termine, G. Atilio, Adv. Mater. 14 (2002) 1233;

(f) J.A.G. Williams, A. Beeby, E.S. Davies, J.A. Weinstein, C. Wilson, Inorg. Chem. 42 (2003) 8609.

- [13] (a) For a general overview of the applications of cyclopalladated and cycloplatinated complexes in organic or organometallic syntheses see for instance: A.D. Ryabov, Synthesis (1985) 233;
  (b) M. Pfeffer, Rec. Trav. Pays-Bas 109 (1990) 567;
  (c) J. Spencer, M. Pfeffer, Adv. Met. Org. Chem. 6 (1986) 103.
- [14] N. Gül, J.H. Nelson, A.C. Willis, A.D. Ross, Organometallics 21 (2002) 2041.
- [15] (a) M. Pfeffer, J.P. Sutter, A. De Cian, J. Fischer, Organometallics 12 (1993) 1167;
  (b) M. Pfeffer, M.A. Rotteveel, J.P. Sutter, A. de Cian, J. Fischer,
- J. Organomet. Chem. 371 (1989) C21. [16] G. Zhao, Q.G. Wang, T.C.W. Mak, J. Organomet. Chem. 574
- (1999) 311.
- [17] (a) M. Benito, C. López, X. Morvan, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Chem. Soc, Dalton Trans. (2000) 4470;
  (b) M. Benito, C. López, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 9 (1998) 4219;
  (c) R. Bosque, M. Benito, C. López, New J. Chem. 25 (2001) 827.
- [18] (a) For recent advances in the use of cycloplatinated and cyclopalladated complexes in organic and organometallic synthesis, see for instance: J.J. Johnson, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 6321;
  (b) S. Pérez, C. López, A. Caubet, A. Pawelczyk, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, Organometallics 22 (2003) 2937.
- [19] R.G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 3533.
- [20] (a) M. Crespo, J. Granell, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Organomet. Chem. 681 (2003) 143;
  (b) C. Anderson, M. Crespo, M. Font-Bardía, A. Klein, X. Solans, J. Organomet. Chem. 601 (2000) 22;
  (c) O. López, M. Crespo, M. Font-Bardía, X. Solans, Organometallics 16 (1997) 1233.
- [21] (a) A. Fernández, P. Uria, J.J. Fernández, M. López-Torres, A. Suárez, D. Vazquez-García, M.T. Pereira, J.M. Vila, J. Organomet. Chem. 620 (2001) 8;
  (b) A. Fernández, J.J. Fernández, M. López-Torres, A. Suárez, J.M. Ortigueira, J.M. Vila, H. Adams, J. Organomet. Chem. 621 (2000) 85.
- [22] C. Cativiela, L.R. Falvello, J.C. Gines, R. Navarro, E.P. Urriolabeitia, New J. Chem. 25 (2001) 344.
- [23] (a) S. Pérez, C. López, A. Caubet, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, New J. Chem. 27 (2003) 975;
  (b) C. López, A. Caubet, S. Pérez, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Organomet. Chem. 651 (2002) 105.
- [24] (a) A. Caubet, C. López, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Organomet. Chem. 577 (1999) 735;
  (b) C. López, S. Pérez, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Organomet. Chem. 650 (2002) 258;
  (c) C. López, A. Pawelczyk, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 6 (2003) 451.
- [25] (a) X. Riera, C. López, A. Caubet, V. Moreno, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2001) 2135;
  (b) X. Riera, A. Caubet, C. López, V. Moreno, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, Organometallics 19 (2000) 1384;
  (c) X. Riera, A. Caubet, C. López, V. Moreno, E. Freisinger, M. Willermann, B. Lippert, J. Organomet. Chem. 629 (2001) 97.
- [26] A. Caubet, C. López, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Organomet. Chem. 669 (2003) 164.
- [27] C. López, A. Caubet, R. Bosque, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Organomet. Chem. 645 (2002) 146.
- [28] S. Pérez, C. López, A. Caubet, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, E. Molins, A. Roig, Organometallics 23 (2004) 224.
- [29] K. Nakamoto, IR and Raman Spectra of Inorganic Coordination Compounds, fifth ed., Wiley, New York, 1997.

- [30] G.B. Deacon, J.H.S. Green, Spectrochim. Acta A 24 (1968) 845.
- [31] P.S. Pregosin, Coord. Chem. Rev. 44 (1982) 247.
- [32] (a) J. Vicente, A. Arcas, D. Bautista, P.G. Jones, Organometallics 16 (1997) 2127;
  - (b) J. Vicente, J.A. Abad, A.D. Frankland, M.C. Ramirez de Arellano, Chem. Eur. J. 5 (1999) 3066;
    (c) J. Vicente, J.A. Abad, E. Martínez-Viviente, P.G. Jones,

Organometallics 21 (2002) 4454.

- [33] T.H. Allen, O. Kennard, Chem. Des. Automat. News 8 (1993) 128.
- [34] (a) C. López, J. Sales, X. Solans, R. Zquiak, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1992) 2321;
  - (b) R. Bosque, C. López, J. Sales, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1994) 483;

(c) M. Benito, C. López, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardía, Tetrahedron Asymm. 9 (1998) 429.

- [35] C. Kowala, J.M. Swan, Aust. J. Chem. 19 (1996) 547.
- [36] D.D. Perrin, W.L. Armarego, Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, third ed., Pergamon Press, London, 1998.
- [37] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXS: A Computer Program for Determination of Crystal Structure, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [38] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97: A Computer Program for Determination of Crystal Structure, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1979.
- [39] International Tables of X-Ray Crystallography, vol. IV, Kynoch Press, 1974, pp. 99–100 and 149.